

Territory of Guam Teritorion Guam

OFFICE OF THE COVERNOR UFISINAN I MAGA'LAHI AGANA, GUAM 96910 U S.A

AUG 21 1990

The Honorable Joe T. San Agustin Speaker, Twentieth Guam Legislature 155 Herman Cortez Street Agana, Guam 96910

Dear Mr. Speaker:

Transmitted herewith is Bill No. 995, which I have signed

into law this date as Public Law 20-208.

Sincerely, . JOSEPH F. ADA Governor

Attachment



TWENTIETH GUAM LEGISLATURE 1990 (SECOND) Regular Session

CERTIFICATION OF PASSAGE OF AN ACT TO THE GOVERNOR

This is to certify that Substitute Bill No. 995 (LS), "AN ACT TO EFFECTUATE A STEP TO STEP PAY ADJUSTMENT OF THE EMPLOYMENT RECLASSIFICATION FOR EMPLOYEES OF THE PORT AUTHORITY TO RETROACTIVELY TAKE EFFECT ON AUGUST 1, 1985," was on the 1st day of August, 1990, duly and regularly passed.

Speaker

Attested:

PILAR C. LUJAN// Senator and Legislative Secretary

This Act was received by the Governor this <u>104k</u> day of <u>August</u>, 1990, at <u>1125</u> o'clock <u>A</u>.m.

Strende 4 Disinas

Assistant Staff Officer Governor's Office

APPROVED:

JÓSEPH F. ADA Governor of Guam

Date: August 21, 1990

Public Law No. 20-208

TWENTIETH GUAM LEGISLATURE 1990 (SECOND) Regular Session

Bill No. 995 (LS) Further substituted by the Committee on General Governmental Operations and as further amended by the Committee on Rules

Introduced by:

1

- T. S. Nelson
- C. T. C. Gutierrez
- J. P. Aguon
- J. T. San Agustin
- H. D. Dierking
- P. C. Lujan
- E. P. Arriola
- D. Parkinson
- F. R. Santos
- E. D. Reyes
- M. Z. Bordallo
- G. Mailloux
- J. G. Bamba
- T. V. C. Tanaka
- E. R. Duenas
- D. F. Brooks
- E. M. Espaldon
- M. D. A. Manibusan
- F. J. A. Quitugua
- M. C. Ruth
- A. R. Unpingco

AN ACT TO EFFECTUATE A STEP TO STEP PAY ADJUSTMENT OF THE EMPLOYMENT RECLASSIFICATION FOR EMPLOYEES OF THE PORT AUTHORITY TO RETROACTIVELY TAKE EFFECT ON AUGUST 1, 1985.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE TERRITORY OF GUAM:

1 Section 1. The Port Authority of Guam shall effectuate retroactive to 2 August 1, 1985, a step to step pay adjustment of the employment 3 reclassification Plan A, as prepared by the Evaluation and Research Services 4 and implemented pursuant to Section 9 of Public Law 18-9.

5 6

7

8 9

10 11 The step to step adjustment shall:

1. Ensure that the employees be adjusted to the same step of the new pay range held prior to their reassignment in August 1, 1985;

2. Ensure that all employees whose salaries exceed the maximum step shall not lose any step; provided, however, that the adjustment for those employees in the following positions:

GS-12B,

12 GS-13A,

13 GS-13B,

14 GS-14A,

- 15 GS-14B,
- 16 GS-15A, and

17 GS-15B

18 shall not exceed the maximum step of their pay range; and

3. For those positions changed from the General Schedule to
the Wage Schedule or from the Wage Schedule to the General Schedule,
the pay adjustment shall be done in a manner which would best avoid
loss of steps.

23 Section 2. The pay level for the Port Authority stevedores shall be 24 reassigned from WG-6B to WG-7B, effective September 1, 1989.

Section 3. Any employee currently employed as a casual (part-time)
 Stevedore and Equipment Operator shall be given permanent appointment
 status once the employee has satisfactorily completed a probationary period
 as provided in the Port Authority Personnel Rules and Regulations.

Section 4. The Port Authority shall identify personnel who retired, died, resigned, transferred, or were terminated while working for the Port Authority to calculate the balance due to such employees. Any former employee or survivor who did not receive payment may file a claim with the General Manager within one (1) year from the date of publication of a notice in a newspaper of general circulation within Guam pertaining to this Act. 1 Section 5. The Port Authority is hereby directed to expend its own 2 funds in such amounts as are necessary to implement this Act within sixty 3 (60) days from the date of its enactment.

·

:

TWENTIETH GUAM LEGISLATURE 1989 (FIRST) Regular Session

the second second	ROLL C	CALL SI	allan	
Bill No.			Date:	8190
Resolution No.				
QUESTION:				
	AYE	NAY	NOT VOTING	ABSENT
				-

J. P. Aguon			
E. P. Arriola	free and the		
J. G. Bamba	-		
M. Z. Bordallo	here		
D. F. Brooks	hanner		
H. D. Dierking			
E. R. Duenas	· ····		
E. M. Espaldon			BSEAKCARLEY .
C. T. C. Gutierrez	L'autorité de la companya de la comp		
P. C. Lujan	barr		
G. Mailloux	\$1000000 -		
M. D. A. Manibusan	-		
T. S. Nelson	barran		
D. Parkinson	-		
F. J. A. Quitugua	-	_	
E. D. Reyes	kommunativ	_	
M. C. Ruth	barrow		
J. T. San Agustin	barren		
F. R. Santos	hard a second		
T. V. C. Tanaka	inder strange		
A. R. Unpingco	barrow		
			*~~) .



MEMBER

Committee on Rules Committee on Economic Development Committee on Energy, Utilities & Consumer Protection

& Legal Attairs

Committee on Health.

Welfare & Ecology

Committee on Housing and

Committee on **General Governmental Operations**

Twentieth Guam Legislature

TED S. NELSON Chairman

April 26, 1990

Committee on Federal, Foreign The Honorable Joe T. San Agustin Speaker Twentieth Guam Legislature 163 Chalan Santo Papa Agana, Guam 96910

Dear Mr. Speaker:

Via: Chairman, Committee on Rules

Community Development Committee on Judiciary

& Criminal Justice

Committee on Wavs and Means

Committee on Youth. Senior Citizens, Cultural Affairs & Human Development

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS

Members

Herminia D. Dierking Vice-Chairperson

Elizabeth P. Arriola

J. George Bamba

Madeleine Z. Bordallo

Edward R. Duenas

Carl T. C. Gutierrez

Pilar C. Luian

Gordon Mailloux

Don Parkinson

Edward D. Reyes

Frank R. Santos

Tommy V. C. Tanaka

Ex-Officio Member

Joe T. San Agustin Speaker

163 Chalan Santo Papa Agana, Guam 96910

Telephone: (671) 472-3428/29/30

CORTED- AT: GONERNMENT: EXPENSE

Enclosures

The Committee on General Governmental Operations to which was "An referred Bill No. **995** act to effectuate a step-to-step pay adjustment of the employment classification for employees of the Port Authority of Guam and to cite the Act as the 'Port Authority Pay Adjustment of 1990" has had the same under consideration, and now wishes to report back the same with the recommendation to do pass as substituted.

The Committee votes are as follows:

To do Pass	11
Not to Pass	0
To Report Out	0
To Place in Inactive File	0
Other	3

A copy of the Committee's report and other pertinent documents are enclosed for your perusal.

Sincerely,

ED S. NELSON

TWENTIETH GUAM LEGISLATURE COMMITTEE ON GENERAL GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS

VOTE SHEET ON

on

Bill No. 995 "AN ACT TO EFFECTUATE A STEP TO STEP PAY ADJUSTMENT OF THE EMPLOYMENT CLASSIFICATION FOR EMPLOYESS OF THE PORT AUTHORITY OF GUAM TO RETROACTIVELY TAKE EFFECT ON AUGUST 1, 1985, AND TO CITE THE ACT AS THE 'PORT AUTHORITY PAY ADJUSTMENT OF 1990'"

Committee Members	To do _Pass	Not to Pass	To Report _Out Only	_Abstain_	Other
	c				
TED 8. NELSON, Chairman	V		<u></u>		
HERMINIA D. DIERKING, Vice-C	Chairperson				
ELIZABETH P. ARRIOLA					
J. GEORGE BAMBA	11				
MADELEINE Z. BORDALLO					
EDWARD R. DUENAS					
CARL T. C. GUTHERREZ	$\overline{\checkmark}$				
PILAR COUJAN Mulh	<u> </u>				
GORDON MAILLOUX					
DON PARKINSON					
EDWARD D. REYES					
JOE TASAN AQUSTIN, Speaker					
FRANCISCO R. SANTOS			<u>-+</u>		
THOMAS V.C. TANAKA					

CORIED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

PROFILE ON SUBSTITUTE BILL NO. 995

- Brief Title: "The Port Authority Pay Adjustment Plan of 1990."
- Main Sponsors: Senators Ted S. Nelson, Carl T.C. Gutierrez, and John P. Aquon.
- Date Introduced: Thursday, October 5, 1989.
- Assigned Committee: Referred to the Committee on General Governmental Operations and the Committee on Tourism and Transportation, on Thursday, October 19, 1989.
- Public Hearing: Wednesday, January 31, 1990, at 11:30 a.m. in the Legislative Session Hall.
- Official Title: "An act to effectuate a step-to-step pay adjustment of the employment reclassification for employees of the Port Authority of Guam to retroactively take effect on August 1, 1985 and to cite the Act as The Port Authority Pay Adjustment Plan of 1990."
- Co-Sponsors: Senators Joe T. San Agustin, Herminia D. Dierking, Pilar C. Lujan, Elizabeth P. Arriola, Don Parkinson, Frank R. Santos, Eddie D. Reyes, Madeleine Z. Bordallo, Gordon Mailloux, J. George Bamba, Tommy V.C. Tanaka, and Eddie R. Duenas.
- Recommendation: To do pass as substituted.

BACKGROUND

Substitute Bill No. 995 is designed to restore the seniority of employees at the Port Authority. It also provides that employee salaries be adjusted to the same step of the new pay range held prior to there reassignment in August 1, 1985. The seniority of employees is based on a step-to-step promotion and pay adjustment.

In addition to restoring employee seniority, Substitute Bill 995 also authorizes the Port Authority to grant permanent status to those Stevedores, Equipment Operator II, and casual employees. Once the employees complete their probationary status they will be granted permanent status with the Port. The classification of these employees would be consistent with the Personnel Rules and Regulations of the Port Authority.

، د

A CLOSER LOOK

Employees of the Port Authority have maintained high productivity levels, as a standard, which is exceedingly higher than the average for the shipping industry. For this, the Port employees ask not only for positive incentives such as recognition and letters of commendation, but also adequate compensation. Adequate compensation has always been an issue and never given to Port employees Substitute Bill 995 would certainly be the most progressive action towards paying for their outstanding services.

Through the years, the Port employees have worked very hard to improve the efficiency of the Port operations, which has led to greater revenues. Because of the efficient operations attributed to the Port workers, the Port has not sought any needed subsidy from the General Fund, and with such a financial posture, the Port Authority is in a position to adequately compensate its employees.

The Civil Service Commission supports Bill 995. In their post audit review, the Commission noted the general concerns of Port employees for their loss of seniority and meritorious step increases upon the implementation of the 1985 Plan.

Furthermore, the method used by the Port resulted in the loss of steps to 224 employees. More importantly, the intent of Bill 995 is consistent with Civil Service Commission Policies and Procedures on Pay Range Reassignments, which provide that, when a pay range for any class is reassigned to a higher salary range and there is no change in the position classification the employee in the class shall be compensated at the same step of the new pay range as the employee was in the pay range held prior to the reassignment.

Lastly, Bill 995 will provide consistency in handling pay adjustments between the Port's Classification and Pay Plan and the recently enacted Option 1 Pay Plan.

TWENTIETH GUAM LEGISLATURE COMMITTEE ON GENERAL GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS

REPORT ON BILL NO. 995

"AN ACT TO EFFECTUATE A STEP TO STEP PAY ADJUSTMENT OF THE EMPLOYMENT RECLASSIFI-CATION FOR EMPLOYEES OF THE PORT AUTHORITY OF GUAM TO RETROACTIVELY TAKE EFFECT ON AUGUST 1, 1985, AND TO CITE THE ACT AS THE PORT AUTHORITY PAY ADJUSTMENT OF 1990.

[Substitute Bill No. 995 - Attachment 1]

Introduced by Senators:

T.S. Nelson, C.T.C. Gutierrez, J.P. Aguon, J.T. San Agustin, H.D. Dierking, P.C. Lujan, E.P. Arriola, D. Parkinson, E.D. Reyes, F.R. Santos, M.Z. Bordallo, G. Mailloux, J.G. Bamba, T.V.C. Tanaka, and E.R. Duenas.

PREFACE:

The Committee on General Governmental Operations, to which was referred Bill No. 995 [Referral - Attachment 2] conducted a public hearing on Wednesday, January 31, 1990, at 11:35 a.m., in the Legislative Session Hall. [Agenda - Attachment 3]

Committee members present were Senator Herminia D. Dierking, Acting Chairperson, and Senators Carl T.C. Gutierrez, Tommy V.C. Tanaka, and Eddie R. Duenas. Excused from the hearing were Senators Ted S. Nelson, Joe T. San Agustin, Elizabeth P. Arriola, J. George Bamba, Pilar C. Lujan, Madeleine Z. Bordallo, Gordon Mailloux, Frank R. Santos, Don Parkinson and Eddie D. Reyes.

NOTIFICATION

Letters were sent to: the Governor; the Acting Executive Director of Civil Service Commission; the Attorney General; the General Manager of the Port Authority of Guam; the Director of Administration; to the President of the Mayors' Council; the President of the Guam Chamber of Commerce; the Committee Members, and to the news media.

TESTIMONIES

Appearing before the Committee were: Mr. David B. Tydingco, General Manager, Port Authority of Guam; Mr. Henry C. Flores, testifying on his behalf; Mr. Daniel Leon Guerrero, representing the Civil Service

Commission; and Mr. John Quidachay, Vice-Mayor of Agat. [Witness Sheet - Attachment 4]

At this time, Mr. Tydingco read the Port Authority's testimony stating that "... the employees of the Port Authority have maintained high productivity levels, as a standard, which is exceedingly higher than the average for the shipping industry. For this, Port employees ask not only for positive incentives such as recognition and letters of commendations, but also adequate compensation. Since adequate compensation has always been an issue and never been given to Port employees, its (Bill No. 995) enactment would certainly be the most progressive action towards paying for their outstanding services."

According to Mr. Tydingco, Port employees have worked very hard to improve the efficiency of the Port operations, which has led to greater revenues for the Port. Because of the efficient operations, attributed to Port workers, the Port has not sought any needed subsidy from the General Fund, and such a financial posture makes the Port Authority the exception among agencies within the government.

In concluding his remarks, Mr. Tydingco informed the Committee that the Board of Directors and Management of the Port support Bill 995 because it corrects the inequities brought about in the 1985 Compensation Plan. [Testimony - Attachment 5]

Next to testify was Mr. Henry C. Flores. Mr. Flores testified that the Port Authority has the authority to establish and amend the present compensation plan. According to Mr. Flores, when the compensation plan was implemented in 1985, the methods used was a partial recognition of seniority to those positions held by two or more employees. Other positions, said Mr. Flores, held by one employee were given Step 1 of the Pay Range and/or 6% increase if their salaries exceeded the maximum rate of Step 1.

The Port employees have earned a reputation of being a hardworking force at the Port. Mr. Flores testified that the employees are not asking for retroactive pay increases but the restoration of their seniority which they were entitled to prior to the 1985 Compensation Plan.

According to Mr. Flores, this is not a question of money, its a question of dignity, equality, respect, and restoration of the merit system for the senior employees at the Port. [Testimony - Attachment 6]

Before concluding his testimony, Mr. Flores presented the Committee a petition signed by employees of the Port supporting the passage and enactment of Bill 995. [Petitions - Attachment 7]

Next to testify was Mr. Daniel Leon Guerrero representing the Civil Service Commission. Mr. Leon Guerrero testified that "the Civil Service Commission's port audit review of the Port Authority of Guam, dated August 8, 1989, <u>Findings and Recommendations, Part I and II</u>, noted the general concerns of the employees of the Port Authority for their

loss of seniority and/or meritorious step increases upon the implementation of the 1985 Plan 'A' recommendation."

Mr. Leon Guerrero stated that the method of implementation utilized by the Port was a set of procedures which resulted in the loss of steps to 224 employees. As a comparison, Mr. Leon Guerrero stated that the government's Options 1 and 2 were implemented on a step-to-step pay basis retroactive to August 24, 1986. Not a single employee under the Commission's jurisdiction lost a single salary incremental step. "The intent of Bill 995," said Leon Guerrero, "is consistent with the Civil Service Commission Policies and Procedures on Pay Ranges Assignments, which provides that when a pay range for any class is reassigned to a higher salary range and there is not change in the position classification, the employee in the Class shall be compensated at the same step of the new pay range as he was in the pay range held prior to the reassignment."

Mr. Leon Guerrero concluded that Bill 995 serves to provide consistency in handling pay adjustments between the Port Authority Classification and Pay Plan and the Government of Guam's Option 1 and 2 Plans. [Testimony - Attachment 8]

At this time, the Chairperson called on the last witness, Mr. John Quidachay, Vice-Mayor of Agat. The Vice-Mayor testified that is is important to reclassify and adjust the salaries of the Port's employees accordingly. He also urged the Committee to expeditiously act and report out Bill 995. **[Testimony - Attachment 9]**

There being no other witnesses, the Chairman adjourned the hearing on Bill 995.

COMMITTEE FINDINGS

The Committee on General Governmental Operations, to which was referred Bill 995, finds that:

- 1. In a memorandum dated October 20, 1987, the Port Authority requested the Civil Service Commission to have the Commission's staff assist the Port Authority in its post audit of the Port's 1985 Classification and Compensation Plan.
- 2. Public Law 13-87, enacted on October 31, 1975, created the Port Authority as an autonomous public agency. Since its creation and until the passage of P.L. 17-58 on June 24, 1984, the Civil Service Commission had purview over the classification and pay structure for the Port's classified positions. With the enactment of P.L. 17-58, the Port's Board of Directors was authorized to establish and amend the compensation rates and classification plan of its employees, subject to the approval of the Governor.
- 3. On January 7, 1985, the Port executed a contract with the Evaluation and Research Services to conduct a classification and

pay study encompassing comparative analysis of salaries, position specifications and other related variables. As noted in the <u>1985</u> <u>Comparative Analysis of the Port Authority of Guam Salary and Wage Rates Report</u>, the major goal of the study was to develop a salary and wage structure for the Port which would be comparable with that of other cargo shipping activities on Guam, in order to gain a substantially competitive posture for attracting and retaining gualified and productive personnel.

- 4. Public Law 18-9, enacted on July 23, 1985, authorized the implementation of the employment reclassification plan that was developed by the Evaluation and Research Services, effective August 1, 1985. The Compensation Plan provides for pay comparability with the Navy civil service employees. The implementation of the Classification and Compensation Plan resulted in some Port employees receiving a minimum salary increase of 8% and a maximum of 37%.
- 5. The purpose and objective of the post-audit review was to determine whether the Port's Classification and Pay Plan was comparable or provides commensurate salary rates with the pay schedules of comparable positions in the Federal government, especially those positions at the Naval Ship Repair Facility, Naval Supply Depot, and other Navy activity on Guam. The objectives were:
 - a. to review and determine whether positions are classified correctly based on the Port's published standards;
 - b. to review Federal position classifications and job grading standards and determine the comparable pay grade level for each of the established classes of positions used by the Port; and
 - c. to determine from the Port's Pay Schedules the pay range that matches the Federal salary rates for each of the Port classes of positions.
- 6. The comparable analysis of the Port's current rates against the current Federal rates show that the Port's rates have fallen behind due to the salary increases granted to Federal employees since 1985.
- 7. The Board of Directors of the Port Authority, in October 1989, approved the reassignment and pay increases of certain managerial positions at the Port while ignoring the Port's entire employee welfare.

Furthermore, the reassignment and pay increases as approved by the Board of Directors was approved by the Governor of Guam retroactive to November 24, 1989.



· · · .



Therefore, it is only fitting and proper that the Legislature protect the welfare of the entire Port personnel by approving Substitute Bill 995.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee on General Governmental Operations, to which was referred **Bill No. 995**, has had the same under consideration and now wishes to report back the same with the **recommendation to do pass as substituted**.



Bill No. 995 Substituted by the Committee on General Governmental Operations

Introduced by:

۰.

T.S. Nelson C.T.C. Gutierrez J.P. Aguon J.T. San Agustin H.D. Dierking P.C. Lujan E.P. Arriola D. Parkinson F.R. Santos E.D. Reyes M.Z. Bordallo G. Mailloux J.G. Bamba T.V.C. Tanaka E.R. Duenas

AN ACT TO EFFECTUATE A STEP TO STEP PAY ADJUSTMENT OF THE EMPLOYMENT RECLASSIFICATION FOR EMPLOYEES OF THE PORT AUTHORITY OF GUAM TO RETROACTIVELY TAKE EFFECT ON AUGUST 1, 1985 AND TO CITE THE ACT AS THE 'PORT AUTHORITY PAY ADJUSTMENT PLAN OF 1990.'"

BE IT ENACTED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE TERRITORY OF
 GUAM:

3 Section 1. The Port Authority of Guam shall effectuate 4 retroactively to August 1, 1985, a step to step pay adjustment of 5 the employment reclassification Plan A, as prepared by Evaluation 6 and Research Services and implemented pursuant to Section 9 of 7 Public Law 18-9.

8 The step to step adjustment shall:

9 1. Ensure that the employees be adjusted to the same
10 step of the new pay range held prior to their reassignment in

1 August 1, 1985;

, .

> 2 2. Ensure that all employees whose salaries exceed the 3 maximum step shall not lose any step, provided, however, 4 that the adjustment for those employees in the following 5 positions:

6 GS-12B,

7 GS-13A,

8 GS-13B

9 GS-14A,

10 GS-14B,

11 GS-15A, and

12 GS-15B

13 shall not exceed the maximum step of their pay range; and

For those positions changed from the General
 Schedule to the Wage Schedule or from the Wage Schedule to
 the General Schedule, the pay adjustment shall be done in a
 manner which would best avoid loss of steps.

18 Section 2. The pay level for the Port Authority stevedores 19 shall be reassigned from WG-6B to WG-7B effective September 1, 20 1990.

21 Section 3. All employees currently employed as casual (part-22 time) Stevedores and Equipment Operator II shall be given 23 permanent appointment status once the employee has satisfactorily 24 completed his probationary period as promulgated in the Port 25 Authority Personnel Rules and Regulations.

26 Section 4. The Port Authority shall identify personnel who 27 retired, died, were terminated, transferred, or resigned while 1 working for the Port Authority for the purpose of calculating the 2 balance due to such employees. Any former employee or survivor 3 who did not receive payment shall be eligible by filing a claim with 4 the General Manager within one (1) year from the date of 5 publication of such notice in a newspaper of general circulation 6 within the Territory pertaining to this Act.

× *.

15

7 Section 5. The Port Authority is hereby authorized to 8 expend such sums as are necessary to implement the intent of this 9 Act within ninety (90) days upon enactment.

Section 6. This Act may be cited as the "Port Authority Pay
Adjustment Plan of 1990."



HERMINIA D. DIERKING TWENTIETH GUAM LEGISLATURE

SENATOR



COMMITTEES:

October 27, 1989

CHAIRPERSON Rules

VICE-CHAIRPERSON Ways & Means Energy, Utilities & **Consumer Protection** General Governmental Operations

MEMBER:

Economic Development

Federal, Foreign & Leoni Affairs

-lealth, Welfare & Ecology

Judiciary & Criminal Justice

Education

Tourism & Transportation

Youth, Senior Citizens, Cultural Affairs & Human Resources

MEMORANDUM	1
то:	Chairperson, Committee on General Governmental Operations
FROM:	Chairperson, Committee on Rules
SUBJECT:	Referral - Bill No. 995.

The above Bill is referred to your Committee. Please note that the referral is subject to ratification by the Committee on Rules at its next meeting.

This Bill was also referred to the Committee on Tourism & Transportation on October 6, 1989. It is recommended that a joint hearing be coordinated with the Committees involved.

HERMINIA D. DIERKING

Enclosure

cc: Committee on TOURISM & TRANSPORTATION

TWENTIETH GUAM LEGISLATURE 1989 (FIRST) Regular Session

Nelson

Bill No. 995 (/.()

Introduced by:

AN ACT TO EFFECTUATE A STEP TO STEP PAY ADJUSTMENT OF THE EMPLOYMENT RECLASSIFICATION FOR EMPLOYEES OF THE PORT AUTHORITY TO RETRO-ACTIVELY TAKE EFFECT ON AUGUST 1, 1985, AND TO CITE THE ACT AS THE PORT AUTHORITY PAY ADJUSTMENT PLAN OF 1989.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE TERRITORY OF GUAM:

2 Section 1. The Port Authority of Guam shall effectuate 3 retroactive to August 1, 1985, a step to step pay adjustment 4 of the employment reclassification Plan A, as prepared by Evalua-5 tion and Research Services and implemented pursuant to Section 6 9 of Public Law 18-9.

7 8

9

10

1

The step to step pay adjustment shall:

1. Ensure the employees be adjusted to the same step of the new pay range held prior to their reassignment in August 1, 1985 reassignment;

11 2. Ensure that all employee whose salaries exceed 12 the maximum step shall not lose any step, however;

The adjustment for those employees in the following
 positions:

15		GS-12B,
16		GS-13A,
17		GS-13B
18		GS-14A,
19		GS-14B,
20		GS-15A, and
21		GS-15B,
22	shall not	exceed the maximum step of their pay range;

4. For those positions changed from the General Schedule to the Wage Schedule or from Wage Schedule to the General Schedule, the pay adjustments shall be done in a manner which would best avoid loss of steps.

1

2 3

4

Section 2. The Port Authority of Guam shall identify 5 personnel who retired, died, were terminated or resigned while 6 7 working for the Port Authority for the purpose of calculating 8 the balance due to such employees. Any former employee or 9 survivor who does not receive a payment shall be eligible to 10 receive the payment due him or her by filing a claim for payment 11 with the General Manager of the Port Authority no later than 12 September 30, 1990.

Section 3. The Port Authority of Guam is hereby authorized to expend such sums as are necessary to implement the intent of this Act.

Section 4. This Act may be cited or referred to as the Port Authority Pay Adjustment Plan of 1989.



TESTIMONY ON BILL 995 RELATIVE TO AN ACT TO EFFECTUATE A STEP TO STEP PAY ADJUSTMENT OF THE EMPLOYMENT RECLASSIFICATION FOR EMPLOYEES OF THE PORT AUTHORITY TO RETROACTIVELY TAKE EFFECT ON AUGUST 1, 1985, AND TO CITE THE ACT AS THE PORT AUTHORITY PAY ADJUSTMENT PLAN OF 1989.

Good morning ---- my name is David B. Tydingco, General Manager of the Port Authority of Guam, I am here today to testify in favor of Bill No. 995.

Public Law 13-87, enacted on October 31, 1975, created the Port Authority of Guam as a public agency.

Since its creation and until the passage of Public Law 17-58 on June 22, 1984, the Civil Service Commission had purview over the classification and pay structure for the Authority's classified positions. With the enactment of Public Law 17-58, the PAG Board of Directors has authority to establish and amend the compensation rates and classification plan of its employees, subject to the approval of the Governor. However, before going further, let us review excerpts of the Port's testimony relative to Bill 253, now Public Law 17-58.

"... employees of the Port Authority have maintained high productivity levels, as a standard, which is exceedingly higher than the average for the shipping industry. For this, Port employees ask not only for positive incentives such as recognitions and letters of commendations, but also adequate compensation. Since adequate compensation has always been an

issue and never been given to Port employees, its enactment would certainly be the most progressive action towards paying for their outstanding services.

Port employees in general are aware that the wages they are receiving are substantially less than what other United States marine terminal jurisdictions and the navy civilian workers, which are employed directly across our dock, are currently receiving for the same type of work in terms of complexity, responsibility and skill. For example, Stevedores working for the Port Authority receive a starting salary of \$5.17 per hour, while their Navy civilian counterpart receives a starting salary of <u>\$8.55 per hour</u>. Consequently, Port employees regard the current compensation rates as inadequate or inequitable, which is a cause for low morale. Despite this, Port employees have been able to consistently maintain a high productivity level, due to their sense of dedication to the Port's goal in continuing to bring quality service to its users and to the people of Guam. The passage of Bill 253 would certainly be in the right direction towards the establishment of adequate wages for Port employees and the maintenance of a standard of living that would enable them to associate with their counterparts in private pursuits with dignity and respect.

Port employees have worked very hard to improve the efficiency of the Port operations, which has led to greater revenues for the Port. Because of the efficient operations, attributed to Port workers, the Port has not sought any needed subsidy from the

General Fund of the Government of Guam, such a financial posture makes the Port Authority the exception among agencies of our island government ..."

"The Port in order to remain profitable, must be able to attract, compete and retain workers, particularly those in the bluecollared positions, such a Crane Mechanics and Heavy Equipment Mechanics. Our Crane Mechanics for example have undergone specialized training, both on and off-island, in the maintenance of an estimated 11.8 million dollars worth of equipment. Within the last three years, the Port has lost a total of four crane mechanics directly to the Navy. Exiting Crane Mechanics cited low Port salaries as the primary reason for accepting jobs with the Navy. Continued resignations of such technical and essential personnel because of low wages, is costly both in dollars spent on training and experience gained on the job, and more importantly, the efficient operations of the Port will be jeopardized.

Passage of the bill will enable the management of the Port Authority to bring the compensation of its employees to a level that is competitive to the effective recruitment area, particularly with the Federal Government, in order to maintain salary rates which would permit the Port to compete successfully for employees and retain and motivate them to perform their jobs effectively.

We recognize that in being granted the authority to establish our

own compensation plan we accept the responsibility to assure its prudent and careful implementation. We do not view this responsibility lightly and recognize our obligations extend beyond those to our employees--to the general community as a whole. We would not support this legislation, if we did not believe it stands to ultimately benefit all citizens of Guam as much as it does our employees."

As mandated by Public Law 17-58, on January 7, 1985, the PAG Board of Directors executed a contract with the Evaluation and Research Services to conduct a classification and pay study encompassing comparative analysis of salaries, position specifications and other related variables. As noted in the <u>1985</u> <u>Comparative Analysis of the Port Authority of Guam Salary and Wage Rates Report</u>, "the major goal of the study was to develop a salary and wage structure for the Port which would be comparable with that of other cargo shipping activities on Guam, in order to gain a substantially competitive posture for attracting and retaining qualified and productive personnel." The Report recommended four ways of effectuating the recommended salary adjustments.

PLAN A - A Salary Plan which contains salary rates comparable to Navy positions consistent with differences in complexity, responsibility and qualification requirements. For those positions already meeting Navy rates, a minimum salary adjustment of at least 6% is included.

- PLAN B Plan A to be suspended for six months, but a 9% acrossthe-board increase is to be immediately implemented.
- PLAN C Based on a comparative analysis of such factors as salary, qualification and complexity of benchmark positions, positions such as Stevedores, Cargo Checker, Safety Inspector, Security Guard, Equipment, Winch and Crane Operators are excluded from this Plan for salary increases.
- PLAN D Salaries of only certain positions critical to Port efficiency are to be implemented. Excluded from this Plan for salary increases are all clerical and facility maintenance positions.

The implementation procedure used as extracted from the Report prepared by Evaluation and Research Services was the following:

"<u>Implementation</u>: The Personnel Department should be delegated to do the following:

- Evaluate each employee's present proximity to the proposed classification and pay range;
- Determine the salary, or wage rate, increase appropriately.
 The amount of increase should be no less than Step 1 of the recommended pay range; a person whose current salary is

greater than Step 1 shall receive an increase of one increment from his/her current pay rate but must not exceed the maximum step of the proposed pay range.

c. An employee whose present salary or wage exceeds the maximum rate possible for the position occupied shall be upgraded to the next pay range where his/her salary can be increased by one increment." In essence, the implementation method did not retain the employees' existing step when being reallocated to the new salary schedule. By legislative mandate, the Port Compensation Plan of 1985 was implemented on August 1, 1985 via Public Law 18-09, Section 17. Implementation cost of Plan A was 1.2 million dollars.

Based on concerns raised by employees, the Board of Directors and management, in a memorandum dated October 20, 1987, requested the Chairperson of the Civil Service Commission to have the Commission's staff assist the Authority in its post audit of the PAG Classification and Compensation Plan of 1985.

The post-audit review was made to determine whether the PAG Classification and Pay Plan was comparable or provides commensurate salary rates with the pay schedules of comparable positions in the federal government, particularly for positions with the neighboring Ship Repair Facility, Freight Terminal, Department of Naval Supply Depot and other naval activities in Guam.

The objectives included the following:

•

- to review and determine whether positions are classified correctly based on the Port's published class standards;
- 2. to review federal position classifications and job grading standards and determine the comparable pay grade level for each of the established classes of positions used by the Port Authority.
- 3. to determine from the PAG pay schedules the pay range that matches the federal salary rates for each of the PAG classes of positions.

Their findings are included in a two part report titled "Civil Service Commission Post Audit Review," transmitted to the Port Authority on August 8, 1988. Of the 131 positions audited, the Review found sixty-three (63) positions to be properly classified. Sixty-eight (68) were identified to be either downgraded or upgraded. The Review further recommended that our salary schedules be adjusted to reflect a 5% across-the-board increase on the GS salary schedule and the WG schedule to be adjusted to reflect 6% increase across-the-board. The recommended pay adjustments as well as the across-the-board increases were approved by the Board of Directors during their August 10, 1988 meeting and transmitted to the Governor for approval. These upward salary adjustments went into effect on

October 1, 1988.

On January 16, 1989, Public Law 19-52 was enacted into law. Section 16 of this law states in part "The Civil Service Commission shall evaluate each position in all departments funded by the General Fund ... and in all autonomous agencies, for which an increase in salary did not occur as a result of implementation of the Classification and Pay Maintenance Review Task Force Phase I Report dated November 7, 1985. All such evaluations shall be completed no later than sixty days after the effective date of this Act. The ... shall adopt equivalent salaries to the Option I schedule for their positions if the Option I equivalent is greater than an existing salary. Each position's new salary or existing salary shall be effective retroactively to October 1, 1987."

Consistent with law, Port employees are now paid equal to our above Option 1 levels. Those positions found to be below the Option 1 level as evaluated by the Civil Service Commission as well as the 5% or 6% across-the-board were paid retroactively to August 24, 1986.

Bill 995 if enacted into law, will mandate the Port to implement step to step adjustments to our employees retroactive to August 1, 1985. The analysis conducted estimate that it will cost the Port approximately 3.0 million to implement these adjustments.

Let us again reiterate our statement made in respect to Public Law 17-58.

We recognize that in being granted the authority to establish our own compensation plan we accept the responsibility to assure its prudent and careful implementation. We do not view this responsibility lightly and recognize our obligations extend beyond those to our employees--to the general community as a whole. We would not support this legislation, if we did not believe it stands to ultimately benefit all citizens of Guam as much as it does our employees."

However, we have developed a Five Year a Capital Improvement Projects and Equipment Acquisition Plan. All the attention given in the media this past week on the problems we have had with equipment breaking down and our ability to issue cargo is being addressed by this \$50 Million dollar Five Year Plan. Over the last three years, we have purchased over \$4 million dollars in new equipment and have initiated or completed contruction works to address our operational needs to the tune of approximately \$20 million. This work must continue if we are to adequately address the demands of our economy.

Today, all generated and projected revenues have been earmarked for the next five years. So the critical issue that must be addressed is the funding aspect. If enacted into law, Bill 995 may make it necessary to (1) increase tariff rates, (2) suspend capital improvement projects and equipment acquisitions, such as

the purchase of a new gantry, (3) request subsidy from the General Fund which we have always pride ourselves of not doing.

In conclusion, the Board of Directors and Management of the Port supports the intent of Bill No. 995 as it serves to correct inequities brought about in the 1985 compensation plan. We look to legislative guidance on how we can address this matter properly and adequately for the benefit of Port employees and the benefit of the people we serve.

NL A-Ka

January 31, 1990

Mr. Ted.S. Nelson Chairman, Committee on General Governmental Operations 20th Guam Legislature Agana, Guam 96910

Hafa Adai Mr. Chairman and Members of the Committee:

My name is Henry C. Flores. I am currently employed with the Port Authority of Guam as a Winch Operator. My testimony today is in support of Bill ⁹⁹⁵ relative to restoring the seniority lost by the employees as a result of the 1985 Compensation Plan.

At this time, I would like to present to the Committee a request from the employees asking your support in passing this Bill out of your committee and soliciting your collegues in supporting this Bill.

As you are aware, the Port Authority has the authority to establish and amend the employee's compensation plan subject to the Governor's approval. When the compensation plan was implemented back in 1985, the methods used was a partial recognition of seniority to those positions held by 2 or more employees. Other positions held by only 1 employee were given Step 1 of the pay range and/or 6 percent increase if their salaries exceeded the maximum rate of Step 1.

In 1986 and 1987, Options 2 and 1 were implemented by the Government of Guam. The method used was a step-to-step pay adjustment. The Government of Guam employees did not lose their seniority when they were given pay adjustments. Page 2

',

Management has told us that the compensation plan was used as a basis for establishing rates for Option 1. It was noted that employees have benefitted from Option 1 since 1985 and the retroactive payments and pay adjustments which other employees of the Government of Guam are receiving are simply to provide them with appropirate salaries which Port employees have received since 1985. THIS IS NOT QUITE TRUE.

Yes, the Port and Government of Guam compensation plans are essential the same in terms of positions and salaries. However, some or more of the employees are actually receiving salaries less than their counterparts working for the Government of Guam. This is a case of inequitable pay treatment.

This again is because the Port and Government of Guam chose radically different methods in implementing their compensation plans. Because the Port's selection in applying this method has resulted in the loss of up to 9 or more pay ranges for 99 percent of its employees in August 1985. I have attached examples to this testimony to illustrate the salary differences between the Government of Guam and the Port.

When management first became aware of the pay inequities, they promised the matter would be solved. But when they saw how much it will cost, they quietly reversed its decision and informed the employees the Agency would be headed for a financial disaster. The Board of Directors then approved a 5 and 6 percent salary increase and implemented the post-audit recommendations conducted by the Civil Service Commission in 1988 at the same time. The biggest beneficiaries of this pay increase were some of the higher paid Page 3

a x

administrative employees.

A majority of us employees appreciated the gesture, but we are aware that this action failed to remedy the pay range step inequities. But it was like using a pail of water to save a burning barn and failed to resolve the real issue.

We have lost all faith in this compensation plan since we see it as only benefitting certain employees.

We have earned a reputation and been recognized for the hardwork and dedication throughout the years and can safely say that we are a major factor in the Port's financial success. In the last 5 years, the Port has earned more than 15 million dollars. This money is being used for projects which the community will enjoy, such as Agat and Agana marinas, boat launching ramps, etc.

Whenever a new administration comes in, management leaves, but the employees continue to perform their work despite the instability of management's demands and opposing philosophies. The success is attributed to a well-motivated workforce. Shouldn't we be a priority in obtaining pay rates equal to other Government of Guam workers.

The Port has a lot of money for other concerns but appears to have no money when it comes to its employees. Shouldn't we enjoy some of the Agency's financial success.

The current tariff rates have not changed since 1984. If management fails to take measures to ensure maintenance or reasonable rates, why is it that we must suffer! Why should we pay for their mistakes? Isn't management obligated to perform their jobs too. Page 4

NOT

WE ARE ASKING FOR PAY RAISES OR HANDOUTS, BUT RATHER WHAT WE ARE ENTITLED TO. We have a lot of pride and been directing our frustrations within and hidden from others. We now going to you as Senators for help because we feel we have no other place to express our hurt. We hope and believe that you can help solve it and place it to rest once and for all.

You as long-time public servants know how it feels to work hard for each salary increment. We believe you can feel how we are now feeling when the seniority was taken away. It is like someone taking away your house after you spent so many years in building it.

I would like to reiterate that we are not asking for retroactive pay increases but the restoration of our seniority which we are entitled to in the first place. We believe a resonable solution is to restore our pay range steps to the point of where they should have been in the first place.

Again, Mr. Chairman and members of this committee, this is not a question of money. This is a question of dignity, equality and respect for the senior employees at the Port. We are not asking not to forsake us, but to help us since we are totally helpless in resolving this matter in-house.

We, the employees are most grateful for your assistance. Thank you.

HENRY C. FLORES

Government of Guam PAG	Equipment Operator with 15 years of service	Government of Guam PAG	Accounting Technician with 10 years service	Government of Guam PAG	Security Officer with 15 years of service		
W14-X \$14378 W14-X \$14378		R20-9 \$14769 R20-9 \$14769		PR13-X \$12974 PR13-X \$12974		Prior to 1985	
WG7B-1 \$18325		GS6A-2 \$15683		GS4A-5 \$13749		1985 Compensation Plan	
W27-X \$21817		R28-9 \$19217		R20-X \$16097		Option 1	
\$19425		\$16467		\$14851		Port 5/6	
\$19425		\$19217 \$16467		\$14851		Current Salary	

• • • •

The Honorable Ted S. Nelson Chairman, General Governmental Operations 20th Guam Legislature Agana, Guam 96910

Hafa Adai Mr. Chairman and Committee Members:

Name Signat WAN A. SAN MIQUEL Gregorio M. Concepcino JURN B. SAYAMA Joseph T. Guzman BARDARA C. Luyes Sith T. S Dubre 5 Mandida IARIANO CA NARS N. NONE

The Honorable Ted S. Nelson Chairman, General Governmental Operations 20th Guam Legislature Agana, Guam 96910

Hafa Adai Mr. Chairman and Committee Members:

Name Signature Clare Derebinis ALMA SALSIN JUAN B. PINEPA Lillian R. Aquon LIURDERSS-REVES Eleanos D. tenry C. OSED euz. EdwARd S. FEJERAN WILLIAM R. ChACO Ben 2. QuintANilla DAVIC R. REYES Nose C Mendiola JOSEPH C. West

The Honorable Ted S. Nelson Chairman, General Governmental Operations 20th Guam Legislature Agana, Guam 96910

Hafa Adai Mr. Chairman and Committee Members:

Name Signature (1) ERNEST R. QUINTANILLA BENIGNO M. TENDEO (2) (3) = M. SANTOS Nrie Quintanilla 1LA 15 (9) (7) BERSAMIN (8) JOSE Wildork PECINA JA Jorgi 2010 (1 1)FULIDUE (12) NAYMONA (3) FERMENAND DUINTANTUA LOPALSNA anna R. MONTA K7 ere

The Honorable Ted S. Nelson Chairman, General Governmental Operations 20th Guam Legislature Agana, Guam 96910

Hafa Adai Mr. Chairman and Committee Members:

Name Signature AUGUST Q. PEREZ AXID R. NAPUTI ESSE C. CORDONA MELVIN C. SALAS RAMOND D DuDKiewicz user 1 min A Salos ourke 4. JERLAJE · /erla Vivia V. Cast 2SP STELLA E. SANTIAGO rente arigruta Sezama ETE a. CRUZ u P

The Honorable Ted S. Nelson Chairman, General Governmental Operations 20th Guam Legislature Agana, Guam 96910

Hafa Adai Mr. Chairman and Committee Members:

Name Signature Jose B. Falas BENINY LY. REYES enny M. LESSE V. Cruz Tomy P. Jala ERICHE J, OPIKK JOHN A. LUJAN JOHNIN B. SEPINED Timeteo br. Gralauper. JULIE BORTH Nosa B. Insight TRANK Mikeyes Jose T AGUIGUI POBERIA. WOSTPON KE JESUS SEBASTIAN S. GARPULLIDO servino 1. Lo renzo





1.1.1

CSC No. <u>90-077</u>

The Honorable Ted S. Nelson Chairperson, Committee on General Governmental Operations Twentieth Guam Legislature Agana, Guam 96910

> RE: Bill 955 - An Act to effectuate a Step-to-Step Pay Adjustment of the Employment Reclassification for Employees of the Port Authority to retroactively take effect on August 1, 1985, and to cite the act as the Port Authority Pay Adjustment Plan of 1989.

Dear Senator Nelson & Members of the Committee:

The Civil Service Commission fully supports the intent of Bill 995 to provide a Step-to-Step Pay Adjustment of the employment reclassification Plan A retroactively to August 1, 1985, as prepared by Evaluation and Research Services and implemented pursuant to Section 9 of Public Law 18-9.

The Civil Service Commission's post audit review of the Port Authority of Guam dated August 8, 1989, <u>Findings and</u> <u>Recommendations</u>, Part 1 of II, noted the general concerns of Port Authority of Guam employees for their loss of seniority and/or meritorious step increases upon the implementation of the 1985 Plan "A" recommendations.

The method of implementation utilized by the Port in August 1985 was a set of procedures which resulted in the loss of steps to 224 employees of the Port. Comparatively, Government of Guam, Options 1 and 2 were implemented government-wide on a step-tostep pay basis retroactively back to August 24, 1986 and not a single employee under the Commission's jurisdiction lost a single salary incremental step. Senator Ted S. Nelson

Page 2

Furthermore, the intent of the bill is consistent with Civil Service Commission Policies and Procedures on Pay Range Reassignments, which provides that, when a pay range for any class is reassigned to a higher salary range and there is no change in the position classification, the employee in the class shall be compensated at the same step of the new pay range as he/she was in the pay range held prior to the reassignment.

This Bill will serve to provide some consistency in handling pay adjustments between the Port Authority of Guam Classification and Pay Plan and Government of Guam Option 1 and 2 Plan.

Sincerely,

annello

FELIX P. CAMACHO Executive Director

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION STAFF REPORT

Prepared by:

Maria C.R. Sudo and Danny Leon Guerrero Personnel Management Analyst III

September 8, 1989

I. REQUEST:

In a memorandum dated February 13, 1988, the Port Authority of Guam (PAG) General Manager requested the Civil Service Commission to evaluate the Port's Compensation Plan to determine if the Option I salaries are greater than Port's existing salaries pursuant to P.L. 19-52.

II. AUTHORITY:

Section 16 of P.L. 19-52 provides:

"The Civil Service Commission shall evaluate each position in all departments funded by the General Fund, including but limited to the Commissioners' Council, ipal Commissioners' offices, the Gene the various not Services municipal General Administration of the Department of Administration, the Office of the Governor, and Government House, and in all autonomous agencies, for which an increase in salary did not occur as a result of implementation of the Classification and Pay Maintenance Review Task Force Phase I Report dated November 7, 1985. All such evaluations shall be completed no later than sixty days after the effective date of this Act. The Guam Economic Development Authority, the Guam Housing and Authority, the Guam Power Authority, Urban Renewal the University of Guam, and the Port Authority of Guam and all autonomous agencies or instrumentalities of the other government of Guam shall adopt equivalent salaries to the Option I schedule for their positions if the Option I equivalent is greater than an existing salary. Each position's new salary or existing salary shall be effective retroactively to October 1, 1987."

III. PURPOSE:

The review was made to identify the positions in the PAG Classification and Pay Plan that are paid less than comparable positions of Option 1, Government of Guam Pay Plan and formulate recommendations to the Port in order to fulfill the requirements of Section 16 of Public Law 19-52.

Cont'd. Staff Report RE: Port Authority Positions Evaluation Page 2

IV. SCOPE OF REVIEW:

The Port's 134 classified job classes were reviewed and benchmarked against established classes of positions for classified positions under the Classification and Compensation Plan administered by the Commission.

Positions were benchmarked based on work complexity, responsibility, and requirements; and the corresponding pay rates were compared. (See pages A6-16) The salary comparisons included only minimum and maximum salary rates in effect as of October 1, 1987, to the present

V. FINDINGS:

Of the Port's 134 established classes of positions, 23 classes of positions have lower salary rates at the minimum and/or maximum step than the Option 1 salary rates for the same or comparable classes of positions. The following is a listing of the classes of positions that require salary adjustments to provide at the least equal pay to the Option 1 pay rates.

Adjustments Mandated Pursuant to P.L. 19-52:

		OPTION	I RATES	PAG	RATES		R RATE
	POSITION TITLE	MIN	MAX	MIN	MAX	MIN	MAX
1.	Clerk Typist I	11,353	13,705	10,985	13,824	368	N/A
2.	Clerk Typist II	12,481	15,557	11,990	15,594	491	N/A
3.	Payroll Clerk I	12,009	14,797	11,990	15,594	19	N/A
4.	Tool Clerk	13,705	15,187	13,454	17,494	251	N/A
5.	Tariff Technician	16,097	21,167	15,070	19,591	1,027	1,576
6.	Cargo Checker Leader	21,167	24,157	20,284	23,657	883	500
7.	Supply Management Administrator (Effective 4/11/89)	28,057	37,594	27,606	35,883	451	1,711
8.	Accountant II	26,497	34,994	22,823	29,658	3,674	5,336

Cont'd. Staff Report RE: Port Authority Positions Evaluation Page 3

+

	POSITION TITLE	OPTION MIN	I RATES Max	PAG MIN	RATES MAX	HIGHER DIFFE MIN	RATE RENCE MAX
9.	Accountant III	28,837	38,967	27,606	35,883	1,231	3,084
10.	Budget Analyst (Effective 9/30/88)	23,377	30,397	22,823	29,658	554	739
11.	Computer Operator Specialist	21,817	28,837	20,661	26,863	1,156	1,974
12.	Personnel Assistant	14,017	18,177	13,454	17,494	563	683
13.	Public Information Officer	24,937	32,602	20,661	26,863	4,276	5,739
14.	Safety Technician II	17,137	22,597	16,795	21,818	342	7 79
15.	Planner-Work Coordinator (Facility Maintenance)		30,397	25,906	30,233	1,371	164
16.	Capital Improvement Projects Coordinator (Effective 8/29/89)	28,057 30,397	37,594 41,161	27,606 27,606	35,883 35,883	451 2,791	1,711 5,278
17.	Port Chief Engineer (Effective 8/29/89)	34,994	45,613	39,356	44,598	N/A	1,015
18.	Marine Traffic Controller	24,157	31,479	20,661	26,863	3,496	4,616
19.	Marina Manager	21,167	28,057	18,651	24,242	2,516	3,815
20.	Equipment Maintenance Superintendent	29,617	40,381	33,403	38,887	N/A	1,494
21.	Building Maintenance Leader	15,577	17,657	16,360	19,094	783	1,437
22.	Tool Mechanic	18,177	20,517	17,661	20,593	516	N/A
23.	Rigger Leader	22,597	25,717	22,047	25,708	550	9

A-3

-28

Cont'd. Staff Report RE: Port Authority Positions Evaluation Page 4

> Because the PAG pay schedules (GS/WG) are different from the pay range/wage schedules, the Option I salary differences may reflect a higher Option I salary rate at the minimum or maximum step only or at both steps. Of the 23 classes of positions that require adjustments to the PAG rates, two (2) classes of positions do not require adjustments at the minimum step, five (5) do not require adjustments at the maximum step, and sixteen (16) require adjustments at both the minimum and maximum steps. Any adjustment to the Port's salary schedules would therefore result in the PAG having higher salary rates than the Option I salary range. Any reassignment of the GS/WG salary level will also create inequitable pay relationships between the PAG compensation plan and the local federal compensation plan.

VI. RECOMMENDATIONS:

Because the PAG Compensation Schedule does not conform to the pay range schedule, the staff proposes the following alternative recommendations for the Port's consideration in complying with the statute or mandate to provide equal salaries to the Option 1 salary schedule lower than Option 1 pay range.

1. For those Port positions found to be paid at a substantially less rate (\$1,000 plus), recommend that the pay range be reassigned upward to a level where there is pay parity. And for those Port positions found to be paid less, but the differences are marginal (less than \$1,000), recommend that the positions remain in their corresponding pay ranges but that the steps of the incumbents impacted be adjusted upwards to achieve pay parity.

IMPACT:

The reassignment of the GS/WG level will distort the pay relationships between the PAG jobs and the comparable federal jobs.

2. That the 23 affected Port classes of positions be placed into the Government of Guam Pay Range/Wage Schedule as benchmarked by the Civil Service Commission until such time that the Port's salary schedule becomes equal or greater than the rates provided in the pay range/wage schedules. 灣

Cont'd. Staff Report RE: Port Authority Positions Evaluation Page 5

IMPACT:

This recommedation will not have any adverse impact to the pay relationships between the PAG compensation plan and the local federal compensation plan. However, the PAG will be operating under two different pay plans; nonetheless, it appears to be the procedure that best fits the spirit and intent of Public Law 19-52, without distorting the established PAG benchmarking for pay comparability with the federal classification and compensation plan.

3. That the employees occupying positions in the job classes identified be moved up in steps or pay ranges to meet the pay rates comparable under Option I, Government of Guam Pay Range/Wage Schedule, without reassigning the assigned pay levels. New hires shall be hired at the step in the pay level that provides equal or greater pay than the minimum salary step in Option 1.

IMPACT:

This recommendation will not distort the established GS/WG assignment for PAG jobs. However, incumbents at Step 5 and above may have to be placed beyond the maximum step in complying with the mandate of the law to provide for not less than the Option I salary rate. The implementation requires a review of each individual incumbent's salary and step against the assigned pay range/wage level to determine the salary and step adjustment needed.

A-5

							CSC - PORT		
NO.	PORT AUTHORITY PAY FLAN POSITION TITLE AANGE		ELT. 10/1/67 SALARY	OPTION & CONPARISON POSITION TITLE	RANGE	AWINS	DITTERNER NIN. NNK.	RECONNERDATION	
э.	Clerk I Clerk II Clerk III	6502B 6503B 6504B	10,985-13,824 11,990-15,594 13,454-17,494	Clerk I Clerk II Clerk II	R-10 R-15 R-20	10,433-12,245 11,353-13,705 12,481-15,577	-522 -1,579 -637 -1,889 -973 -1,917	Status quo Status quo Status quo	
****	Clerk Typist I Clerk Typist II Clerk Typist III	6502B 6503 B 6504B	10,985-13,824 11,990-15,594 13,454-17,494	Clerk Typist I Clerk Typist II Clerk Typist II	R-15 R-20 R-23	11,353-13,705 12,401-15,577 13,393-17,137	+368 -119 +491 -17 -61 -418	Adjustment Adjustment Status quo	
7.	Tool Clerk	GS04B	19,454-17,494	Tool Clerk	W-16	13,705-15,187	+251 -2,307	Adjustment	
	Claims Clerk	GS05B	15,070-19,591	Clerk III	R-20	12,481-15,577	-2,589 -4,014	Status quo	
	Nobile Equipment Dispatcher	6803B	11,990-15,594	Dispatcher	R-15	11,353-13,705	-637 -1,889	Status quo	
10.	Clerk Supervisor	GS05B	15,070-19,591	Clerk Supervisor II	R-26	14,407-18,697	-663 -894	Status quo	
.11	Clerical Services Supervisor	GS06B	16,795-21,818	Word Processing Secretary II	R-29	15,577-20,517	-1,218 -1,301	Status quo	
12.	Payroll Clerk I Payroll Clerk II Payroll Supervisor	GS03B GS05B GS08B	11,990-15,594 15,070-19,591 20,661-26,863	Payroll Clerk I Payroll Clerk II Payroll Supervisor	R-18 R-22 R-37	12,009-14,797 13,081-16,617 19,867-26,497	+19 -797 -2,786 -2,974 -794 -366	Adjustment Status quo Status quo	
15.	Administrative Assistant	GS05B	15,070-19,591	*Administrative Aide	R-23	13,393-17,137	-1,677 -2,454	Status quo	
16.	Tariff Technician	GS05B	15,070-19,591	Customer Services					
17.	Tariff Supervisor	GS08B	20,661-26,863	kepresentative Customer Services Supervisor	R-34	18,177-24,157	-2,484 -2,706	Adjustment Status quo	
. e.	Property Control Officer	GS09B	22,823-29,658	Hospital Property Management Officer	R-34	18,177-24,157	-4,646 -5,501	Status quo	
	"Based on the posit	ion in the	e class rather the	"Based on the position in the class rather than the class standard					

. .

"Based on the position in the class rather than the class standard

A-6

.

*

10.

ITEM	PORT AUTHORITY PA	Y PLAN I	PP. 10/1/87	OPTION 1 COMPARISON			CSC - PORT DIFFERENCE		
NO .	POSITION TITLE	RANGE	SALARY	POSITION TITLE	RANGE	SALARY	NIN. MAX		RECOMMENDATION
19.	Cargo Checker	WG06B	18,543-21,629	Equipment Operation Series	W-23	16,617-18,697	-1,926 -2,	032	Status quo
20.	Cargo Checker Leader	WG08B	20,284-23,657	Equipment Operation	W-23	10,01/~10,09/	-1,920 -2,	932	Scacus quo
				Series	W-31	21,167-24,157	+883 +	500	Adjustment
21.	Cargo Checker Supervisor	WG14A	25,047-29,214	Equipment Operation					
				Series	W-33	22,597-25,717	-2,450 -3,	497	Status quo
22.	Buyer I	GS05B	15,070-19,591	Buyer I	R-25	14,017-18,177	-1,053 -1,	414	Status quo
23.	Buyer II	GS07B	18,651-24,242	Buyer II	R-30	16,087-21,167	-2,564 -3,	075	Status quo
24.	Buyer Supervisor	GS09B	22,823-29,658	Buyer Supervisor I	R-34	18,177-24,157	-4,646 -5,	501	Status quo
25.	Supply Technician I	WG03B	15,919-18,565	Storekeeper I	R-19	12,245-15,107	-3,674 -3,	378	Status quo
26,	Supply Technician II	WG04B	16,801-19,600	Storekeeper II	R-22	13,081-16,617	-3,720 -2,		Status quo
27.	Supply Supervisor	GS07B	18,651-24,242	Supply Supervisor	R-29	15,577-20,517	-3,074 -3,	725	Status quo
28.	Supply Management								
	Administrator	GS11B	27,606-35,883	Supply Management					
				Administrator (AA)	R-44	24,937-32,602	-2,669 -3,		Status quo
					**R-48	28,057-37,594	+451 +1,	/11	Adjustment
29.	Records Management								
	Administrator	GS11B	27,606-35,883	Records Management	n 26	10 (07 04 007	0 000 30		
				Officer	R-35	18,697-24,937	-8,909 -10	,940	Status quo
30.	Accounting								
	Technician I	GS04B	13,454-17,494	Accounting					
				Technician I	R-23	13,393-17,137	-61 -	357	Status quo
31.	Accounting	0000	16 205 21 210	To second dam					
	Technician II	GS06B	16,795-21,818	Accounting Technician II	R-28	15,187-19,867	1 600 1	051	C+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
32.	Accounting Technicia	-		rechnician II	R-20	13,18/-19,88/	-1,608 -1,	321	Status quo
	Supervisor	GS08B	20,661-26,863	Accounting Technicia	n				
	ouper reser	00000		Supervisor	R-35	18,697-24,937	-1,964 -1,	926	Status quo
							-,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		Contract data
	**CSC amended rate								
	***CSC approved pay	range 1	cassignment on Au	igust 22, 1989					

.

****CSC approved pay range reassignment on April 11, 1989

A-7

• • •	•				1						
RECONNERIDATION	Status quo Adjuntment Adjuntment	Status quo	status quo Status quo Adjustment	Status quo	onb	Status quo	Status quo	Status guo	Adjustment	Status quo	
PORT BNCK NAX.	-85 +5,336 +3,084	-7,498		-374	-2,034 -2,425	-4,126 -4,721	-1,365 -1,934	-894	+1,156 +1,974	-1,109 -1,998	
CSC ~ PORT DIFFERENCE MIN. MAX	-474 +3,674 +1,231	-12,541		-273	-2,034	-4,126	-1,365	-663	+1,156	-1,109	
ANLAR	18,177-24,157 26,497-34,994 28,837-38,967	30,397-41,161** 32 602-43 326**	21,817-28,837 23,377-30,397	14,797-19,217	16,617-21,817	18,697-24,937	13,705-17,657	14,407-18,697	21,817-28,837	26,497-34,994	
RANCE	R-34 R-46 R-69	R-51	t R-40 ****R-42	R-27	R-31	R-35	R-24	I R-26	R-40	R-46	
OPTION 1 COMPARISON POSITION TITLE	Accountant I Accountant II Accountant III	Financial Affairs Administrator ***Chief of	ueu	Computer Programmer I	Computer Programmer II	Computer Programmer III	Computer Operator I	Data Control Clerk II R-26	Computer Operator Supervisor	Computer Systems Analyst II	guat 22, 1989 eff. 9/30/88
10/1/87 SALARY	18,651-24,242 22,823-29,658 27,606-35,883	42,938-48,659	22,823-29,658	15,070-19,591	18,651-24,242	22,823-29,658	15,070-19,591	15,070-19,591	20,661-26,863	27,606-35,883	amended rates pending legislation approved pay range reassignment on Au tent pay range pursuant to P.L. 19-19,
Y PLAN KFF. 10/1/87 RANGE SALAR	G\$07B G\$09B G\$11b	GS14A	GS09B	GSOSB	G807B	GS09B	GS05B	65058	GSOBB	GSIIB	es pending y range res ge pursuant
VA JJ										د	pa pa
PORT AUTHORITY PAY POSITION TITLE	Accountant I Accountant II Accountant III	Financial Affairs Controller	Budget Analyst	Computer Programmer I	Computer Programmer II	Computer Programmer III	Computer Operator	Data Production Coordinator	Computer Operator Specialist	Programmer Analyst	**CSC amended rates pending legislation ***CSC approved pay range ressignment on August 22, ****Current pay range pursuant to P.L. 19-19, eff. 9/

đ

A-8

-

			10 (1) (87	OPTION 1 COMPARISON			CSC - PORT DIFFERENCE	
ITEN NO.	PORT AUTHORITY PAY POSITION TITLE	RANGE	. 10/1/87 <u>Salary</u>	POSITION TITLE	RANGE	<u>BALARY</u>	MIN. MAX.	RECOMMENDATION
45.	Systems Manager	GS13B	39,356-44,598	Data Processing Manager (AA)	R~51	30,397-41,161**	-8,959 -3,437	Status quo
46.	Personnel Assistant	GS04B	13,454-17,494	Personnel Assistant	I R-25	14,017-18,177	+563 +683	Adjustment
47.	Personnel Specialist I	GS07B	18,651-24,242	Personnel Specialist I	R-34	18,177-24,157	-474 -85	Status quo
48.	Personnel Specialist II	GS09B	22,828-29,658	Personnel Specialist II	R-40	21,817-28,837	~1,011 -821	Status quo
49.	Personnel Specialist III	GS11B	27,606-35,883	Personnel Specialist III	R-46	26,497-34,994	-1,109 -889	Status quo
50.	Personnel Services Administrator	GS13A	36,232-43,462	Personnel Services Administrator (AA)	R-51	30,397~41,161**	-5,835 -2,301	Status quo
51.	Public Information Officer	GS08B	20,661-26,863	Public Information	R=44	24,937-32,602	+4,276 +5,739	Adjustment
52. 53.	Safety Technician I Safety Technician II	G\$05B G\$06B	15,070-19,591 16,795-21,818	Safety Inspector I Safety Inspector II	R-25 R-32	14,017-18,177 17,137-22,597	-1,053 -1,414 +342 +779	Status quo Adjustment
54.	Safety Technician III	GS08B	20,661-26,863	Safety Inspector III	R-36	19,217-25,717	-1,444 -1,146	Status quo
55.	Security Officer	G504B	13,454-17,494	Security Guard (Armed)	R-20	12,401-15,577	-973 -1,917	Status quo
56.	Security Supervisor	G\$06B	16,795-21,818	Security Guard Supervisor	R-27	14,797-19,217	-1,998 -2,601	Status quo
57.	Chief of Security	GS10B	25,130-32,651	Airport Security Supervisor	R=40	21,817-28,837	-3,321 -3,814	Status quo

Ř.

CSC amended rates pending legislation **Current pay range pursuant to P.L. 19-19, eff. 9/30/88

A - q

1778N	PORT AUTBORITY PAY PLAM EFF. 10/1/87 POBITION TITLE RANGE BALARY	r plan kry.	10/1/87 <u>Balany</u>	OPTION 1 COMPARISON POSITION TITLE	RANGE	BALARY	CSC - PORT DIFFERENCE MIN, MAX,	RECOMPLENDATION
58,	Planner-Work Coor- dinator (Facility Maintenance)	MG15A	25,906-30,233	Building Maintenance Trades	9E-W	795,05-772,72	+1,371 +164	Adjustment
59.	Planner-Work Coor- dinator (Equipment Maintenance)	WG17B	28,993-33,403	Equipment Maintenance Trades	0	28,057-31,479	-936 -1,924	Status quo
60.	Capital Improvement Projects Coor- dinator	GSIIB	27,606-35,883	Engineer III	R-48 R-51	28,057~37,594 30,397-41,161*	28,057-37,594 +451 +1,711 30,397-41,161*******2,791 +5,278	Adjustment Adjustment
61.	Port Chief Engineer	GS13B	39,356-44,598	Engineer Supervisor	R-52 R-55	31,479-4 2,243** 34,994-45,613**	31,479-42,243** -7,877 -2,355 34,994-45,613*********362 +1,015	Status quo Adjuntment
62,	Marine Traffic Controller	65088	20,661-26,863	Airport Operations Supervisor	R-43	24,157-31,479	+3,496 +4,616	Adjustment
63.	Marina Manager	G\$07B	18,651-24,242	Airport Operations Officer	R-39	21,167-28,057	+2,516 +3,815	Ad justment
64.	Deckhand	MG0/EB	18,543-21,629	Utility Worker	W-17	14,017-15,577	-4,524 -6,052	Status quo
65.	Marine Eguipment Mechanic	WG10B	22,047-25,708	Heavy Equipment Mechanic II	W-32	21,817-24,937	-230 -771	Status quo
66.	Captain	WGITB	22,908-26,723	Marine Technician III W-33	W-33	22,597-25,717	-311 -1,006	Status quo
67.	Assistant Harbor Naster	GS13A	36,232=43,462	Assistant Airport Operations Superintendent	R-47	27,277-36,263	-8,955 -7,199	Status guo
	CSC amended rat **CSC approved pa	tes pending 1y range re	amended rates pending legislation approved pay range reassignment on Au	August 29, 1989				

Ŀ

• • •

A-10

7

÷.,

								CSC - PORT	
NO.	PORT AUTHORITY PAY PLAN EFF. 10/1/07 POSITION TITLE RANGE SALAR		PLAN ET.	10/1/01	OPTION I COMPARISON POSITION TITLE	RANGE	SALARY	DI FFEBENCE MIN. MAX.	RECONCENDATION
. 89	Harbor Master		GS14A	42,938-48,659	Airport Operations	R-50	29,617-40,381	-13,321 -8,270	Status quo
69.	Operations Manager	nager	GS14A	42,938-48,659	Air Terminal Manager	R-55	34,994-45,613**	-7,944 -3,046	Status guo
70.	Building Maintenance Superintendent	tenance nt	MG19A	32,080-37,151	Building Maintenance Superintendent	R-45	25,717-33,767	-6,363 -3,384	Status quo
71.	Bquipment Maintenance Superintendent	ntenance nt	MG19B	33,403-38,687	Power Transportation Sumerintendent	05-8	[8] (J-2] - 6] - 6]	-3 786 +] 404	ad due teamt
72.	Transportation Superintendent	a at	MG20B	36,489-42,084	School Bus Operations Superintendent	R-49	28,837-38,967	-7,652 -3,117	Status quo
. 52	Terminal Superintendent	at.	MG2 0.A	34,946-40,513	Administrator, Oper. Division (GSA)	R-44	24,937-32,602	-10,009 -7,911	Status quo
. 41	Stevedoring Superintendent	'nt	MG2 0.A	34,946-40,513	Administrator, Oper. Division (GSA)	R-44	24,937-32,602	-10,009 -7,911	Status quo
75,	Apprentice (Carpenter)		WT0.9	14,352-21,091	Not comparable				
.92	Apprentice (Electrician)	~	013M	14,934-21,944	Not comparable				
77.	Laborer		WG01B	14,088-16,448	Laborer	W-16	13,705-15,187	-383 -1,261	Status guo
78.	Building Custodian	odian	WG01B	14,088-16,448	Building Custodian	W-15	797, AI-E9E, EI	-695 -1,651	Status guo

• , • •

**CSC amended rates pending legislation

A-11

Æ

RECOMPLEMENTA TO 00	Status quo	Status quo	Status guo	Status quo	Status quo	Status quo	Status quo	Status quo	Status quo	Status quo	Status quo	Status Quo
CBC - PORT DIFFERENCE MIN. MAX. 1	-608 -1,409 5	-783 -1,437 5	-3,610 -4,970 8	-1,926 -2,932	-817 -1,060	-21 -559	-1,590 -2,527	-890 -1,937	-2,864 -3,976	-1,044 -1,896	-728 -1,498 5	-1,292 -2,119
BALARY	14,407-16,097	15,577-17,657	17,137-19,217	16,617-18,697	19,967-22,597	21,167-24,157	22,597-25,717	24,157-27,277	14,797-16,617	16,617-18,697	18,697-21,167	19,896-22,597
RANCE	W-18	W-21	H-24	W-23	W-29	W-31	W-33	W-35	W-19	W-23	W-27	W-29
OPTION 1 COMPARISON POSITION TITLE	Maintenance Custodian	Building Custodian Leader	Building Custodian Supervisor	Equipment Operator I	Equip. Operator III	Equipment Operation	Equipment Operation	Equipment Operation	Automotive Service Worker II	Equipment Operator I	Equipment Operator II W-27	Rquipment Operator III
PLAK EFF. 10/1/87 BANGE BALARY	15,015-17,506	16,360-19,094	20,747-24,187	18,543-21,629	20,284-23,657	21,198-24,716	24,187-28,244	25,047-29,214	17,661-20,593	17,661-20,593	19,425-22,665	21,108-24,716
	MG02B	MG04A	W609W	NG06B	MG08B	MG09B	MG13A	MG14A	WG05B	WG05B	MG07B	8605M
PORT AUTHORITY PAY POSITION TITLE	Maintenance Custodian	Building Custodian Leader	Building Custodian Supervisor	Stevedore	Winch Operator	Stevedore Leader	Stevedore Supervisor I	Stevedore Supervisor II	Mobile Equipment Service Worker	Equipment Operator I WG05B	Equipment Operator II	Equipment Operator III
1710	.61	.08	B1.	82.	93.	34.	35.	36.	37.	38.	39.	.0€

Ŀ

• • •

A-12

2

O

ITTEN NO.	POSITION TITLE RAN PLAN EFF. 10/1/87 POSITION TITLE RANGE SALAR	PLAN RFF.	10/1/87 <u>Salary</u>	OPTION 1 COMPARIBON POBITION TITLE	RANGE	SALARY	CSC - PORT DIPPEDENCE MIN. MAX.	RECOMPLISIED AFT ON	•
91.	Equipment Operator Leáder I	MG10A	21,607-25,223	Equipment Operator Leader I	W-31	21,167-24,157	-440 -1,066	Status quo	•
92.	Crane Operator	WG10B	22,047-25,708	Equipment Operator IV	W-31	21,167-24,157	-880 -1,551	Status quo	
93.	Crane Operator Leader	VETOM	24,187-28,244	Equipment Operator Leader II	W-33	22,597-25,717	-1,590 -2,527	Status quo	
. 16	Transportation Supervisor	WG17B	28,993-33,403	Equipment Operator Supervisor	W-35	24,157-27,277	-4,836 -6,126	Status quo	
95.	Worker Trainee	WG01B	14,088-16,448	(lowest level)	W-13	12,769-14,017	-1,319 -2,431	Status quo) :
.96	Trades Helpër	WG05B	17,661-20,539	Trades Helper	W-20	15,187-17,137	-2,474 -3,402	Status guo	
.79	Tool Mechanic	WG05B	17,661-20,593	Tool Mechanic	W-26	18,177-20,517	+516 -76	Adjustment	
. 96	Rigger	MG08B	20,284-23,657	(Mechanical & Metal Trades Series)	W-29	19,867-22,597	-417 -1,060	Status guo	
. 66	Rigger Leader	WG10B	22,047-25,708	(same as above)	W-33	22,597-25,717	+550 +9	Adjustment	
.001	Automotive Mechanic I	WG08B	20,284-23,657	Automotive Mechanic I	W-28	19,217-21,817	-1,067 -1,840	Status quo	
101.	Automotive Mechanic II	WG10B	22,047-25,708	Automotive Mechanic II	1E-W	21,167-24,157	-880 -1,551	Status quo	
102.	Automotive Mechanic Leader	WG13A	24 , 187-28 , 244	Automotive Mechanic Leader	W-33	22,597-25,717	-1,590 -2,527	Status quo	

A-13

ITEM	PORT AUTHORITY PAY POSITION TITLE	PLAN EFF Range	. 10/1/87 <u>Balary</u>	OPTION 1 COMPARISON POSITION TITLE	RANGE	SALARY	CSC - PORT DIPPERENCE MIN. MAX.	RECONNENDATION
103.	Heavy Equipment Mechanic I	WG09A	20,747-24,187	Heavy Equipment Mechanic I	W-29	19,867-22,597	-880 -1,590	Status quo
104.	Heavy Equipment Mechanic II	WG11A	22,511-26,215	Heavy Equipment Mechanic II	W-32	21,817-24,937	-694 -1,278	Status guo
105.	Heavy Equipment Mechanic Leader	WG13B	24,606-28,728	Heavy Equipment Mechanic Leader	₩-35	24,157-27,277	-449 -1,451	Status quo
106.	Heavy Equipment Mechanic Supervisor	WG18A	29,875-34,285	Heavy Equipment Mechanic Supervisor	W-40	28,057-31,479	-1,818 -2,806	Statua quo
107.	Crane Mechanic I	WG09B	21,188-24,716	Heavy Equipment Mechanic I	₩-30	20,517-23,377	-671 -1,339	Status quo
108.	Crane Mechanic II	WG11B	22,908-26,723	Heavy Equipment Mechanic II	W-33	22,597~25,717	-311 -1,006	Status quo
109.	Crane Mechanic Leader	WG14A	25,047-29,214	Heavy Equipment Mechanic Leader	W-36	24,937-28,057	-110 -1,157	Status quo
110.	Crane Mechanic Supervisor	WG18B	30,757-35,167	Heavy Equipment Mechanic Supervisor	W-41	28,837-32,602	-1,920 -2,565	Status quo
111.	Automotive Body Worker	WGLOB	22,047-25,708	Automotive Body Worker	W-31	21,167-24,157	-880 -1,551	Status quo
112.	Preventive Maintenan Mechanic	ce WGliA	22,511-26,215	(Mechanical and Metal Trades Series)	₩-32	21,817-24,937	-694 -1,278	Status quo

A-14

.....

. .

· •		4	1											0
RECONSIGNDATION	Status quo	Status quo	Status quo	Status quo	Status quo	Status guo	Status quo	Status quo	Status quo	Status quo	Status quo	Status quo	Status quo	Status quo
CSC - PORT DIPPERENCE NIN. NAX.	-30 -967	-1,818 -2,806	-417 -1,060	-880 -1,551	-1,590 -2,527	-2,496 -3,786	-4,628 -6,048	-2,491 -3,549	-417 -1,060	-880 -1,551	-417 -1,060	-230 -771	-810 -1,747	-1,835 -3,124
SALARY	24,157-27,277	28,057-31,479	19,867-22,597	21,167-24,157	22,597-25,717	26,497-29,617	14,797-16,617	18,697-21,167	19,867-22,597	21,167-24,157	19,867-22,597	21,817-24,937	23,377-26,497	26,497-29,617
RANGE	W-35	W-40	W29	W-31	W-33	₩-38	W-19	W-27	W-29	W-31	W-29	W-32	H-34	W-38
OPTION 1 COMPARIBON POSITION TITLE	(Mechanical & Metal Tradea Series	(same as above)	Welder I	Welder II	Welder Leader	Welder Supervisor	Utility Worker	Maintenance Worker	Refrigeration Mechanic I	Refrigeration Mechanic II	Electrician I	Electrician II	Electrician Leader	Electrician Supervisor
P. 10/1/87 Salary	24,187-28,244	29,875-34,285	20,284-23,657	22,047-25,708	24,187-28,244	28,993-33,403	19,425-22,665	21,188-24,716	20,284-23,657	22,047-25,708	20,284-23,657	22,047-25,708	24,187-28,244	28,332-32,74I
PLAN KP	Ce WG13A	ce WG18A	MG08B	WG10B	NG13A	MG17B	WC07B	MG09B	WG08B	WG10B	WG08B	MG10B	WG13A	WG17A
PORT AUTHORITY PAY PLAN KPF. 10/1/87 POSITION TITLE RANGE SALAR	Preventive Maintenance Mechanic Leader W	Preventive Maintenance Mechanic Supervisor WG18A	Welder I	Welder II	Welder Leader	Welder Supervisor	Maintenance Worker I	Maintenance Worker II	Refrigeration Mechanic I	Refrigeration Mechanic II	Blectrician I	Electrician II	Blectrician Leader	Blectrician Supervisor
ITTRN NO.	.611	.11	115.	116.	117.	118.	. 611	120.	121.	122.	123.	124.	125.	126.

1

A-15

item No.	PORT AUTHORITY PAY POSITION TITLE	PLAN EFF. <u>RANGE</u>	10/1/87 <u>Salary</u>	OPTION 1 COMPARISON POSITION TITLE	RANGE	SALARY	CSC - PORT DIFFERENCE MIN. MAX.	RECOMMENDATION	
127.	Painter I	WG07B	19,425-22,665	Painter I	W-27	18,697-21,167	-728 =1,498	Status quo	
128.	Painter II	WG09B	21,188-24,716	Painter II	W-30	20,517-23,377	-671 =1,339	Status quo	
129.	Plumber I	WG07B	19,425-22,665	Plumber I	W-27	18,697-21,167	-728 -1,498	Status quo	
130.	Plumber II	WG09B	21,188-24,716	Plumber II	W-30	20,517-23,377	-671 -1,339	Status quo	
131.	Carpenter I	WG07B	19,425-22,665	Carpenter I	W-27	18,697-21,167	-728 -1,498	Status quo	
132.	Carpenter II	NG09B	21,188-24,716	Carpenter II	W-30	20,517-23,377	-671 ~1,339	Status quo	
133.	Building Maintenance Leader	WG12A	23,349-27,229	Building Maintenance Leader	W-34	23,377-26,497	+28 -732	Adjustment	
134.	Building Maintenance Supervisor	WG17B	28,993-33,403	Building Maintenance Supervisor	W-39	27,277-30,397	-1,716 -3,006	Status quo	

A-16

+ Alle



AGAT COMMUNITY CENTER P.O. BOX 7433 AGAT, GUAM 96928

January 31, 1990

Senator Ted S. Nelson Chairman Committee on General Governmental Operations 20th Guam Legislature Agana, Guam 96910

Re: Bill #995

Dear Senator Nelson:

My name is John A. Quidachay, and I am the Vice Mayor for the village of Agat.

I am here before you to testify in favor of Bill #995. I am in favor of this bill because I have been to the Port Authority of Guam on many different occassions. They are all hard working individuals. They deserve it a step-to-step pay adjustment of the employment reclassification is one that is needed to keep up with all the changes.

It is important to an Agency as big as the Port Authority of Guam to reclassify and adjust salaries accordingly. I know many of the employees at the Port and they really do earn their money. As far as the retroactive pay, it's well earned. In other words, you're not giving something they haven't already worked for. I agree with all the aspects of this bill.

Sincerely, JOHN AL O Vice Mayor of Agat

NELNOPOUED AT GOVERNMENT EAFENSE

Antroduced



TWENTIETH GUAM LEGISLATURE 1989 (FIRST) Regular Session

Bill No. <u>995</u>(15)

Introduced by:

AN ACT TO EFFECTUATE A STEP TO STEP PAY ADJUSTMENT OF THE EMPLOYMENT RECLASSIFICATION FOR EMPLOYEES OF THE PORT AUTHORITY TO RETRO-ACTIVELY TAKE EFFECT ON AUGUST 1, 1985, AND TO CITE THE ACT AS THE PORT AUTHORITY PAY ADJUSTMENT PLAN OF 1989.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE TERRITORY OF GUAM:

2 Section 1. The Port Authority of Guam shall effectuate 3 retroactive to August 1, 1985, a step to step pav adjustment 4 of the employment reclassification Plan A, as prepared by Evalua-5 tion and Research Services and implemented pursuant to Section 6 9 of Public Law 18-9.

7

22

1

The step to step pay adjustment shall:

8 l. Ensure the employees be adjusted to the same 9 step of the new pay range held prior to their reassignment 10 in August 1, 1985 reassignment;

Ensure that all employee whose salaries exceed
 the maximum step shall not lose any step, however;

13 3. The adjustment for those employees in the following14 positions:

15 GS-12B,

16 GS-13A,

17 GS-13B

18 GS-14A,

19 GS-14B,

20 GS-15A, and

21 GS-15B,

shall not exceed the maximum step of their pay range;

THE INTROUGH AT OUVERIMENT PARENSE

Ø

2

1

3

4. For those positions changed from the General Schedule to the Wage Schedule or from Wage Schedule to the General Schedule, the pay adjustments shall be done in a manner which would best avoid loss of steps.

4 5

2. Section The Port Authority of Guam shall identify 6 personnel who retired, died, were terminated or resigned while 7 working for the Port Authority for the purpose of calculating the balance due to such employees. Any former employee or 8 9 survivor who does not receive a payment shall be eligible to 10 receive the pavment due him or her by filing a claim for pavment 11 with the General Manager of the Port Authority no later than 12 September 30, 1990.

Section 3. The Port Authority of Guam is hereby authorized to expend such sums as are necessary to implement the intent of this Act.

16 Section 4. This Act may be cited or referred to as the 17 Port Authority Pay Adjustment Plan of 1989. TWENTIETH GUAM LEGISLATURE 1989 (FIRST) Regular Session

т.

Bill No. 195(15)

Introduced by:

AN ACT TO EFFECTUATE A STEP TO STEP PAY ADJUSTMENT OF THE EMPLOYMENT RECLASSIFICATION FOR EMPLOYEES OF THE PORT AUTHORITY TO RETRO-ACTIVELY TAKE EFFECT ON AUGUST 1, 1985, AND TO CITE THE ACT AS THE PORT AUTHORITY PAY ADJUSTMENT PLAN OF 1989.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE TERRITORY OF GUAM:

2 Section 1. The Port Authority of Guam shall effectuate 3 retroactive to August 1, 1985, a step to step pav adjustment 4 of the employment reclassification Plan A, as prepared by Evalua-5 tion and Research Services and implemented pursuant to Section 6 9 of Public Law 18-9.

7

22

1

The step to step pay adjustment shall:

8 l. Ensure the employees be adjusted to the same 9 step of the new pay range held prior to their reassignment 10 in August 1, 1985 reassignment;

Ensure that all employee whose salaries exceed
 the maximum step shall not lose any step, however;

13 3. The adjustment for those employees in the following14 positions:

15 GS-12B, 16 GS-13A,

17 GS-13B

18 GS-14A,

19 GS-14B,

20 GS-15A, and

21 GS-15B,

shall not exceed the maximum step of their pay range;

1

Incroduced

4. For those positions changed from the General
 Schedule to the Wage Schedule or from Wage Schedule to
 the General Schedule, the pay adjustments shall be done
 in a manner which would best avoid loss of steps.

Section 2. 5 The Port Authority of Guam shall identify personnel who retired, died, were terminated or resigned while 6 7 working for the Port Authority for the purpose of calculating 8 the balance due to such employees. Any former employee or 9 survivor who does not receive a payment shall be eligible to receive the payment due him or her by filing a claim for payment 10 11 with the General Manager of the Port Authority no later than 12 September 30, 1990.

Section 3. The Port Authority of Guam is hereby authorized to expend such sums as are necessary to implement the intent of this Act.

16 Section 4. This Act may be cited or referred to as the 17 Port Authority Pay Adjustment Plan of 1989.